



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/792,211	03/04/2004	Zenichiro Mashiki	10517/218	4190
23838 759	90 03/10/2005		EXAMINER	
KENYON & KENYON			CASTRO, ARNOLD	
1500 K STREET, N.W., SUITE 700 WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
***************************************	,, 20 2000		3747	
		٠	DATE MAILED: 03/10/2003	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			- <del>(</del> )
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	6
	10/792,211	ZENICHIRO MASHIĶI, ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Arnold Castro	3747	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thir od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI tute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  ty (30) days will be considered timely.  ITHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•		
,	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	·	•	
Disposition of Claims		•	
4)  Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			1
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction.  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to ne drawing(s) be held in abeyal ection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3-04-05, 7-26-05.</li> </ol>		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. Claim 3, 11, 15, and 22, recites the limitation "up until the last time" in line 4 of claim. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. It is unclear what is meant by "up until the last time".

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hosoya et al. (US/5,144,929) in view of Toyota (JP56164864).

Hosoya discloses a knock control system that handles noise from the various cylinders interfering with the knock sensor. A noise determiner determines whether the knock determining signal for each cylinder is erroneous due to noise, and generates a noise determining signal if the knock determining signal is erroneous. A knock control inhibiter inhibits knock suppression control based on the knock determining signal on a

Art Unit: 3747

cylinder for which a noise determining signal is generated. (Abstract) Hosoya et al. discloses a learning device that sets the upper and lower thresholds (51, 52) of the noise band.

Toyota discloses prohibiting fuel inject during times knocking detection period. because of noise being made.

At the time of invention it would have been obvious to prevent fuel injection of other cylinders during periods of knock determination to prevent noise from effecting the knock detection in a cylinder.

Motivation would have been to improve the efficiency of the engine.

### Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arnold Castro whose telephone number is (571) 272-4839. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon, Tues, Wed, Thurs 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yuen Henry can be reached on (571)-272-4856. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/792,211

Art Unit: 3747

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> **Arnold Castro** Examiner

> Art Unit 3747

AC

Willis R. Wolfe **Primary Examiner** A-+ Unit 3747